Content list available at http://epubs.icar.org.in, http://www.icarneh.ernet.in; ISSN: 0970-6429



Indian Journal of Hill Farming



Special Issue 2023, Volume 36, Pages 07-14

Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* from raw milk in Manipur

L. Kimi¹ • R.O. Lakadong¹ • K. Lalhruaipuii² • B. Sailo^{3*}

¹Department of Allied Health Sciences, Martin Luther Christian University, Nongrah, Dongktieh, Block 1, Shillong-793006, Meghalaya, India

²Animal Science Section, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Kolasib, Mizoram- 796081, India;

³Animal Science Section, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Imphal, Manipur-795004, India.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: 28 October, 2022 Revision: 03 May, 2023 Accepted: 13 June, 2023

Key words: Antimicrobial susceptibility, Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase, Escherichia coli, raw milk, Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus, Polymerase Chain Reaction.

DOI: 10.56678/iahf-spl36.2023.2

This study aimed to determine the prevalence of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli in raw milk collected from Manipur State, Northeastern Himalayan region of India, and characterized their genetic profile, relatedness and resistance to commonly used antibiotics. A total of 375 raw milk samples were collected out of which 153 (40.8%) isolates were confirmed as Escherichia coli. From the confirmed E. coli isolates, phylogenetic grouping, antimicrobial susceptibility test and congo red binding test were performed. The study revealed that the most prevalent phylogenetic group was group A (42.3%). Resistant to tetracycline was observed in 71.9% of the E. coli isolates whereas, 91.5% of the isolates were susceptible to sulfisoxazole. Congo red binding test revealed that 43.1% E. coli isolates showed a reaction to congo red agar. Amplification of *bla*_{ESRL} genes from *E. coli* isolates was performed using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC)-PCR was performed from the ESBL producing isolates. It was found that 43 (28.1%) isolates were confirmed as ESBL producer which was quite high as compared to other studies, and the predominant gene was bla_{TEM} (69.8%). Combined genes such as $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ and bla_{TEM} , bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} , bla_{TEM} bla_{CTX} and bla_{SHV} were found, where $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ (80.0%) was the most predominant bla_{CTX-M} subgroup. The result of ERIC-PCR showed a distinct profile and correlations between genomic and virulent genes among ESBL producing isolates.

1. Introduction

Escherichia coli are among the most common pathogen responsible for causing several serious infections in animals and human beings. The practice of using antimicrobial to prevent pathogenic infections; associated with economic losses and to promote the growth of livestock may be associated with an increase in the prevalence of bacterial resistant extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)producing *E. coli* from dairy and food-producing animals in Asian and European countries (Palmeira and Ferreira, 2020). ESBL is an enzyme produced by bacteria to become resistant to extended-spectrum monobactams, cephalosporins and penicillin except carbapenems and cephamycins (Teklu *et al.*, 2019). The three main categories of gene encoding ESBL are $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, bla_{SHV} , and bla_{TEM} ; and the enzyme produced by ESBL is an important mechanism in Enterobacteriaceae family for their resistance against antibiotics which makes strategies of treatment more complex (Pishtiwan and Khadija, 2019). CTX-M beta-lactamases is a large and growing enzyme and is divided into several groups, and each group comprises its prototype enzyme and several others. It is subdivided into groups 1, 2, 8, 9 or 25 and CTX-M-1 being the most frequently isolated subtype of CTX-M in samples of animal origin (Irrang *et al.*, 2018).Even though *E. coli* can be categorized as pathogenic or nonpathogenic, and within each of these categories, there can be up to four phylogenetic groups such as A, B1, B2 and D, each of which can have a different prevalence (Clermont *et al.*, 2000).

^{*}Corresponding author: drblessavet@gmail.com

E. coli can contaminate the foods of animal origin, viz., raw milk, meat and their products and contribute to human foodborne and food spoilage. The main sources of *E. coli* isolates are from unpasteurized milk, and approximately 7% of *E. coli* isolates have been confirmed to be multidrug-resistant (Alharbi *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of ESBL producing *E. coli* in raw milk from Manipur, Northeastern Himalayan region of India, and to characterize the genetic profile of these isolates and their resistance to commonly used antibiotics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Isolation and identification of E. coli isolates

A total number of 375 raw milk samples were collected from different local milk distributors in Manipur from September 2019 to August 2022. Each raw milk sample (25mL) was enriched with 225mL of Buffered Peptone water (HiMedia, Mumbai, India), and incubated overnight at 37° C (Agarwal *et al.*, 2003). A loopful of the overnight broth culture was inoculated on sterile HiCrome *E. coli* agar plates (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) and incubated at 37° C for 16 to 18 hours. Identification of morphological and biochemical characteristics was done as described by Ewing (1986). The *E. coli* isolates were stored for further use in a sterile 50% glycerol stock solution at -80°C deep freezer.

2.2 Molecular identification and Phylogenetic grouping of E. coli isolates

DNA of the isolates were extracted using GSure[®] Bacterial Genomic DNA Isolation Kit (GCC Biotech, India) and suspected *E. coli* isolates were confirmed using primers (Table 1) and protocol as described (Sabat *et al.*, 2000). Phylogenetic grouping (A, B1, B2 and D) was performed by the method described by Clermont *et al.* (2000) by using set of primers (Table 1). Each reaction was carried out by optimization of PCR reaction using Takara EmeraldAmp[®] MAX GT PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio Inc., Japan) up to a total volume of 25 μ l. The PCR condition was as follows: 5 min at 94°C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 30 s at 72°C; and a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C.

2.3 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) of the *E. coli* isolates was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton Agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) using *Escherichia coli* ATCC[®] 25922TM as the quality control strain (CLSI, 2017). The sixteen antibiotics used were: Amoxyclav (30 mcg), ampicillin (10 mcg), cefamandole (30 mcg), ceftriaxone (30 mcg), cephalothin (30 mcg), chloramphenicol (30 mcg), ciprofloxacin (5 mcg), co-trimoxazole (25 mcg), gentamicin (10 mcg), kanamycin (30

mcg), nalidixic acid (30 mcg), nitrofurantoin (100 mcg), spectinomycin (100 mcg), sulfisoxazole (300 mcg), streptomycin (300 mcg) and tetracycline (30 mcg) (HiMedia, Mumbai, India).

2.4 Congo Red Binding Test

Single colony of *E. coli* was streaked on Congo red agar plates which composes of brain heart infusion broth (37gms/L), sucrose (50 gms/L), agar no.1 (10 gms/L), and congo red stain (0.8 gms/L, HiMeida, Mumbai, India), and incubated at 25°C. The reaction was recorded at 18, 24, 48 and 72 hours respectively. Colonies reacted with the dye formed red colonies are considered as a positive whereas; colonies that does not bind with the dye and remained white or grey are considered as negative (Berkhoff and Vinal, 1986).

2.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) detection of bla_{ESBL} genes

 bla_{ESBL} genes ($bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}$, bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV}) were amplified using PCR performed in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA) with set of primers (Table 1). Amplification was done as per the standard protocol with slight modifications. Initial denaturation was at 95° C ($bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}$) and, 94° C (bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV}) for 5 minutes. 30 cycles ($bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, h_{-1} , $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}$ and bla_{TEM}) and 40 cycles (bla_{SHV}) of denaturation at 94° C for 1 min followed by annealing at 51° C ($bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$), 52° C (bla_{TEM}) 53° C ($bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}$, $la_{\text{CTX-M}}$), 52° C (bla_{SHV}) for 1 min. Extension at 72° C for 1 min and final extension at 72° C for 7 min. The amplified products were analyzed and the gel image was captured by gel documentation imaging system (Bio-Rad, USA).

2.6 Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC)-PCR analysis of ESBL producing E. coli isolates

ERIC-PCR was performed in forty three ESBL producing *E. coli* isolates by using a pair of primers (Table 1). Optimization of PCR reaction was done using Takara EmeraldAmp® MAX GT PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio Inc., Japan) upto a total volume of 25 μ l. A dendrogram was constructed using BioNumericsTM Software (Version 7.0, Applied-Maths, Belgium), and their similarity was constructed using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) method. The numerical discrimination index (D-value) was calculated by Simpson's Diversity Index (Hunter and Gaston, 1988).

3. Results and Discussion

There is an increase studies carried out in different

countries and regions on the prevalence and characteristics of ESBL producing *E. coli* from raw milk. There are also reports in India from different states (Kuralayanapalya *et al.*, 2019) but not in Manipur till date. Therefore, the present study aimed on prevalence and molecular characterization of ESBL producing *E. coli* from raw milk in Manipur.

From the collected 375 raw milk samples during September 2019 to August 2022, colonies that produce bluish-green color in HiCrome E. coli Agar plates were picked up for E. coli confirmation. A total number of 153 isolates were confirmed as E. coli which were further used for the study, and the prevalence of E. coli was found to be 40.8%. The prevalence of E. coli from raw milk from the present study was 40.8% which was higher than West Bengal (12.1%) as reported by Batabyal et al. (2018). Differences in prevalence rate of E. coli in raw milk could be due to differences in geographical area, seasonal variations and hygienic practices while handling. The presence of E. coli in milk is an important indicator of fecal contamination, environmental contamination and poor hygienic practices that carry virulent genes which are potentially harmful to the consumers (Bujňáková et al., 2021).

Phylogenetic group of the confirmed E. coli isolates were presented in Table 2. It was found that 42.5% (n=65) of the E. coli isolate belongs to group A, 26.1% (n=40) belongs to group B1, 16.3% (n=25) belongs to group D and 15.0% (n=23) belongs to group B2. The present study showed that the most virulent group (B2) was the least detected group (15.0%) and the most prevalent was group A. The phylogenetic group A, B1 and D are commensals of animal intestine, and the presence of group A could be due to unhygienic handling of milk during milking, and bovine subclinical mastitis (Zhang et al., 2018). The presence of group B2 in raw milk is mainly due to contamination from human sources as this phylogroup is considered as human faecal contamination. Several studies confirmed group B2 as virulent strains since they can cause intestinal and extraintestinal infections in human (Musa et al., 2020)

The in vitro susceptibility of *E. coli* isolates to sixteen antibiotics tested were presented in Figure 1. It was found that tetracycline has the highest resistant (71.9%) followed by ciprofloxacin (41.8%), amoxyclav (38.6%) and nalidixic acid (37.3%). Whereas, the maximum isolates (91.5%) were susceptible to sulfisoxazole; ampicillin and cefamandole (90.8%) and ceftriaxone (88.9%). Resistance against tetracycline (71.9%) was found to be the most prevalent and this is supported by Batabyal *et al.* (2018). Furthermore, Ampicillin susceptibility was found to be 90.8% which was lower than the study by Badri *et al.* (2017) where he reported that 100% were resistant. On the other hand, Tark *et al.* (2017) reported 16.6% resistance to ampicillin which was

slightly higher than our present study (9.2%). Variation in this findings may be due to the class of antibiotics used for treatment; frequency and concentration (Tark *et al.*, 2017).

Congo red binding test of the *E. coli* isolates revealed that 43.1% (n=66) isolates were positive (Figure 2). The invasive *E. coli* that can bind to congo red dye are indicated as virulent whereas, isolates that do not bind with the dye are indicated as avirulent. Due to its acquired virulence and resistance factor, these features can represent the highest pathogenic potential of the identified strains (Berkhoff and Vinal, 1986).

From 153 E. coli isolates, ESBL producing E. coli was screened using PCR (Fig. 2) and it was found that 28.1% (n=43) isolates were positive for ESBL producing E. coli, which is high as compared to other study (Kumaruzzaman et al., 2020). Out of 43 isolates, 30 isolates (69.8%) were positive for bla_{TEM} , 25 isolates (58.1%) were positive for $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ and 5 isolates (11.6%) were positive for bla_{SHV} (Table 3) Similar finding on the prevalence of bla_{TEM} , $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ and $bla_{\rm SHV}$ respectively from raw milk was reported by Bhoomika et al. (2016). As seen in table 4, bla_{TEM} alone was detected from 16 isolates whereas *bla*_{CTX-M} alone was detected in 13 isolates (*bla*_{CTX-M-1}= 12, *bla*_{CTX-M-2}= 1). An isolate producing multiple genes were also detected, where, 9 isolates were positive for both bla_{CTX-M} and bla_{TEM} ($bla_{CTX-M-1} = 7$, $bla_{CTX-M-1} = 7$) $_{3}=2$); two isolates were positive for both bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} and 3 isolates were positive for $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$, bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} ($bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$) $_{M-1}=1, bla_{CTX-M-3}=2$) Similarly, detection of combined genes in this study is comparable with the study conducted by Kumaruzzaman et al. (2020). Among CTX-M subgroup, bla_{CTX-M-1} was found to be the predominant gene (80.0%), followed by $bla_{CTX-M-3}$ (16.0%) and $bla_{CTX-M-2}$ (4.0%). The high prevalence of *bla*_{CTX-M-1} in raw milk among CTX-M subgroup was also reported by Tekiner and Ozpinar (2016). To ensure the safety of milk prior consumption, regular monitoring of raw milk is important and showed to be an effective way for detecting of the presence of enteropathogenic strains and antimicrobial resistance bacteria (Albonica et al., 2017).

The results of ERIC-PCR DNA fingerprint of forty three ESBL producing *E. coli* isolates were summarized (Fig. 3). The *E. coli* isolates yielded different DNA of 2-8 bands within a size range of 100-1200 bp which was grouped into 7 clusters (C1 to C7). Cluster 1 (C1) comprised of two isolates (M171 and M8), cluster 2 (C2) comprised of sixteen isolates (M172A-M15), cluster 3 (C3) comprised of seven isolates (M4-M12B), cluster 4 (C4) comprised of twelve isolates (M133-M151), cluster 5 (C5) comprised of four isolates (M131-M72A), cluster 6 (C6) and cluster 7 (C7) comprised of one isolate each (M13 and M13A). *bla*_{TEM} was found to be the predominant gene in cluster C1, C4 and C5. *bla*_{CTX-M-1} was predominant in cluster C2 and C6 and combined gene of *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M} was predominant in cluster C3.

Phylogenetic group B2 was predominant in cluster C2 and C5 and B1 was predominant in cluster C4. The antibiotic resistance pattern was diverse; the most common antibiotic resistance in cluster C1 was CIP and KAN; CEF, GEN and AMP in cluster C2; CTR, AMP and AMC in cluster C3; KAN and TE in cluster C4; AMP, CEF and COT in cluster C5. The present study on ERIC-PCR showed that out of seven clusters, five clusters have two to sixteen isolates and have distinct profiles. Each cluster with two or more isolates has predominant genes and phylogenetic group which differ from one cluster to another. Furthermore, while *bla*_{CTX-M-1} was present in all the clusters, $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$ was present in cluster 2 and *bla*_{CTX-M-3} was present in cluster 2, 3 and 4. Odenthal *et al.* (2016) stated that these variations are due to the transfer of ESBL-encoding genes through mobile genetic elements and also due to fecal contamination. It was also found that there was a correlation between virulence and genomic groups and is comparable with the study performed by Hoffmann et al. (2014). The present study showed 95.5 % level of similarity with 0.9556 as a discriminatory power (D), and this result is consistent with the other study where level of similarity reported on pasteurized raw milk was 95.0% with a D-value of 0.99 and explained that it could be due to failures during the entire production process (Oltramari et al., 2014).

4. Conclusion

This is the first report on the presence of ESBL producing *E. coli* from raw milk in Manipur, Northeastern Himalayan region, India. From the study, it can be concluded that the collected raw milk is highly contaminated with ESBL producing *E. coli*. Factors responsible for the spread of bacterial contamination could be due to unhygienic handling process, poor storage condition and unhygienic environment condition. This poses serious threat to public health and raises the importance of food safety concerns.

5. Author's contribution

BS designed and analyzed the experiments. LK collected samples, performed the experiments and wrote the article. RL and KL revised and corrected the article. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Region for allowing, and providing all the facilities needed, and Martin Luther Christian University, Shillong, Meghalaya to carry out the research work.

7. Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

8. References

- Agarwal RK, Bhilegaonkar KN, Singh DK, Kumar A, and Rathore RS. (2003) In: Laboratory Manual for the Isolation and Identification of Foodborne Pathogens. Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, India.p.100.
- Albonico F, Gusmara C, Gugliotta T, Loiacono M, Mortarino M, and A Zecconi (2017) A new integrated approach to analyze bulk tank milk and raw milk filters for the presence of the E. coli serogroups frequently associated with VTEC status. Research in Veterinary Science 115: 401-406.
- Alharbi NS, Khaled JM, Kadaikunnan S, Alobaidi AS, Sharafaddin AH, Alyahya SA, Almanaa TN, Alsughayier MA and MR Shehu (2019) Prevalence of Escherichia coli strains resistance to antibiotics in wound infections and raw milk. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 26(7):1557-62.
- Arlet G and A Philippon A (1991) Construction by polymerase chain reaction and intragenic DNA probes for three main types of transferable β lactamases (TEM, SHV, CARB). FEMS Microbiology Letters 82(1):19-25.
- Badri AM, Ibrahim IT, Mohamed SG, Garbi MI, Kabbashi AS and MH Arbab (2017) Prevalence of extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated from raw milk samples in Al Jazirah state, Sudan. Molecular Biology 7(1):201.
- Batabyal K, Banerjee A, Pal S, Dey S, Joardar SN, Samanta I, Isore DP and AD Singh (2018) Detection, characterization, and antibiogram of extendedspectrum beta-lactamase Escherichia coli isolated from bovine milk samples in West Bengal, India. Veterinary World 11(10):1423.
- Berkhoff HA and AC Vinal AC (1986) Congo red medium to distinguish between invasive and non-invasive *Escherichia coli* pathogenic for poultry. Avian Diseases 117-21.
- Bhattacharjee A, Sen MR, Anupurba S, Prakash P and G Nath (2007) Detection of OXA-2 group extendedspectrum- β -lactamase-producing clinical isolates of Escherichia coli from India. Journal of Antimicrobial chemotherapy 60(3): 703-704.Bhoomika SS, Patyal A and NE Gade (2016) Occurrence and characteristics of extendedspectrum β -lactamases producing *Escherichia coli* in foods of animal origin and human clinical samples in Chhattisgarh, India. Veterinary World 9(9):996.

- Bujňáková D, Karahutová L and V Kmeť (2021) Escherichia coli Specific Virulence-Gene Markers Analysis for Quality Control of Ovine Cheese in Slovakia. Microorganisms 9(9): 1808.
- Clermont O, Bonacorsi S and E Bingen (2000) Rapid and simple determination of the *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66(10):4555-8.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2017) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. CLSI supplement M100.
- Edelstein M, Pimkin M, Palagin I, Edelstein I and L Stratchounski (2003) Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of CTX-M extended-spectrum β lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in Russian hospitals. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 47(12):3724-32.
- Ewing WH (1986) Edwards and Ewing's identification of Enterobacteriaceae. Edwards and Ewing's Identification of Enterobacteriaceae (Edition 4).
- Hoffmann SA, Pieretti GG, Fiorini A, Patussi EV, Cardoso RF and JM Mikcha (2014) Shiga-Toxin Genes and Genetic Diversity of Escherichia coli Isolated from Pasteurized Cow Milk in Brazil. Journal of Food Science 79(6):M1175-80.
- Hunter PR and MA Gaston MA (1988) Numerical index of the discriminatory ability of typing systems: an application of Simpson's index of diversity. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 26(11):2465-6.
- Irrgang A, Hammerl JA, Falgenhauer L, Guiral E, Schmoger S, Imirzalioglu C, Fischer J, Guerra B, Chakraborty T and A Käsbohrer (2018) Diversity of CTX-M-1producing E. coli from German food samples and genetic diversity of the blaCTX-M-1 region on Incl1 ST3 plasmids. Veterinary Microbiology 221:98-104.
- Kamaruzzaman EA, Abdul Aziz S, Bitrus AA, Zakaria Z and L Hassan (2020) Occurrence and characteristics of extended-spectrum β -Lactamase-producing Escherichia coli from dairy cattle, milk, and farm environments in peninsular Malaysia. Pathogens 9(12):1007.
- Kim J, Kang HY and Y Lee Y (2008) The identification of CTX-M-14, TEM-52, and CMY-1 enzymes in *Escherichia coli* isolated from the Han River in Korea. The Journal of Microbiology 46(5):478-81.

- Kuralayanapalya SP, Patil SS, Hamsapriya S, Shinduja R, Roy P and RG Amachawadi (2019) Prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing bacteria from animal origin: A systematic review and meta-analysis report from India. PLoS One 14(9):e0221771.
- Musa MD, Salman RS and AD Mutar AD (2019) Phylogenetic Background, biofilm and antibiogram profile of *E. coli* Isolated from raw milk soled in the local markets at Al-Nasiriyah City-Iraq. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 11(1).
- Oltramari K, Cardoso RF, Patussi EV, Santos AC and JM Mikcha (2014) Genetic heterogeneity of Escherichia coli isolated from pasteurized milk in State of Paraná, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 50:337-43.
- Palmeira JD and HM Ferreira (2020) Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae in cattle production–a threat around the world. Heliyon 6(1):e03206.
- Pishtiwan AH and KM Khadija (2019) Prevalence of blaTEM, blaSHV, and blaCTX-M genes among ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli isolated from thalassemia patients in Erbil, Iraq. Mediterranean Journal of Hematology and Infectious Diseases 11(1).
- Sabat G, Rose P, Hickey WJ and JM Harkin (2000) Selective and sensitive method for PCR amplification of Escherichia coli 16S rRNA genes in soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66(2):844-9.
- Tark DS, Moon DC, Kang HY, Kim SR, Nam HM, Lee HS, Jung SC and SK Lim (2017) Antimicrobial susceptibility and characterization of extendedspectrum β -lactamases in Escherichia coli isolated from bovine mastitic milk in South Korea from 2012 to 2015. Journal of Dairy Science 100(5):3463-9.
- Tekiner IH and H Özpınar H (2016) Occurrence and characteristics of extended spectrum betalactamases-producing Enterobacteriaceae from foods of animal origin. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 47:444-51.

- Teklu DS, Negeri AA, Legese MH, Bedada TL, Woldemariam HK and KD Tullu (2019) Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase production and multi-drug resistance among Enterobacteriaceae isolated in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 8(1):1-2.
- Versalovic J, Koeuth T and R Lupski (1991) Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in eubacteria and application to finerpriting of bacterial enomes. Nucleic Acids Research 19(24):6823-31.
- Zhang D, Zhang Z, Huang C, Gao X, Wang Z, Liu Y, Tian C, Hong W, Niu S and Liu M (2018) The phylogenetic group, antimicrobial susceptibility, and virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* from clinical bovine mastitis. Journal of Dairy Science 101(1):572-580.

Target genes	Primer sequence	Product	References	
0 0		size (bp)		
16SrRNA	F 5'-GAAGCTTGCTTCTTTGTC-3'	541	(Sabat <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	
	R 5'-GAGCCCGGGGGATTTCACAT-3'			
bla _{CTX-M}	F 5'-TTTGCGATGTGCAGTACCAGTAA-3'	544	(Edelstein et al., 2003)	
	R 5'-CGATATCGTTGGTGGTGCCATA-3'			
bla _{CTX-M-1}	F 5'-GGTTAAAAAATCACTGCGTC-3'	863	(Garrec et al., 2011)	
	R 5'-TTGGTGACGATTTTAGCCGC- 3'			
bla _{CTX-M-2}	F 5'-ATGATGACTCAGAGCATTCG-3'	866	(Garrec et al., 2011)	
	R 5'-TGGGTT ACGATTTTCGCCGC-3'			
bla _{CTX-M-3}	F 5'-CGTCACGCTGTTGTTAGGAA-3'	780	(Kim et al., 2008)	
	R 5'-ACG GCT TTC TGC CTT AGG TT-3'			
bla_{TEM}	F 5'-TTGGGTGCACGAGTGGGTTA-3'	465	(Arlet and Philippon, 1991)	
	R 5'-TAATTGTTGCCGGGAAGCTA-3'			
$bla_{\rm SHV}$	F 5'-AGGATTGACTG CCTTTTTG-3',	625	(Bhattacharjee et al., 2007)	
	R 5'-ATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCG-3'			
chuA	F 5'-GACGAACCAACGGTCAGGAT-3'	279	(Clermont et al., 2000)	
	R 5'-TGCCGCCAGTACCAAAGACA-3'			
yjaA	F 5'-TGAAGTGTCAGGAGACGCTG-3'	211	(Clermont et al., 2000)	
	R 5'-ATGGAGAATGCGTTCCTCAAC-3'			
TspE4C2	F 5'-GAGTAATGTCGGGGGCATTCA-3'	152	(Clermont et al., 2000)	
	R 5'-CGCGCCAACAAAGTATTACG-3'			
ERIC	F 5'-ATGTAAGCTCCTGGGGATTCAC-3'		(Varsalovic et al., 1991)	
	R 5'-AAGTAAGTGACTGGGGTGAGCG-3'			

Table 1. Primer sequences used in the study.

Table 2. Congo red binding test and phylogenetic grouping of 153 E. coli isolates.

A B1 B2 D	Total no. of <i>E. coli</i> isolates	No. of <i>E. coli</i> isolates positive for CRBT (%)		Phylogenet	ic group (%)	
		. ,	А	B1	B2	D
153 66 (43.1) 65 (42.5) 40 (26.1) 23 (15.0) 25 (16	153	66 (43.1)	65 (42.5)	40 (26.1)	23 (15.0)	25 (16.3)

CRBT: Congo red binding test

Table 3. Distribution of ESBL producing E. coli isolates.

No. of ESBL		ESBLgenes (%)					
positive <i>E. coli</i> isolates (%)	bla_{TEM}	bla _{CTX-M}	bla _{CTX-M-1}	bla _{CTX-M-2}	bla _{CTX-M-3}	$bla_{ m SHV}$	
43 (28.1)	30 (69.8)	25 (58.1)	20 (80.0)	1 (4.0)	4 (16.0)	5 (11.6)	

Table 4. Distribution of ESBL genes detected from raw milk.

ESBL producer	No. of isolates
bla _{TEM}	16
bla _{CTX-M-1}	12
bla _{CTX-M-2}	1
$bla_{\text{TEM}} + bla_{\text{SHV}}$	2
$bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}} + bla_{\text{TEM}}$	7
$bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}} + bla_{\text{TEM}} + bla_{\text{SHV}}$	1
$bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}} + bla_{\text{TEM}} + bla_{\text{SHV}}$	2
$bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}+bla_{\text{TEM}}$	2
Total	43



Figure 1. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of 153 *E. coli* isolates from raw milk. AMC: Amoxyclav, AMP: Ampicillin, CEF: Cefamandole, CTX: Ceftriaxone, CEP: Cephalothin, CHL: Chloramphenicol, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, COT: Co-Trimaxozole, GEN: Gentamicin, KAN: Kanamycin, NA: Nalidixic Acid, NIT: Nitrofuratoin, SPT: Spectinomycin, SF: Sulfisoxazole, TE: Tetracycline, S: Streptomycin.



Figure 2. Detection of bla_{TEM} (A), $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ (B) and bla_{SHV} (C) genes in extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw milk samples by Polymerase Chain Reaction. Lane M: 100 bp ladder, lane 1 to 5, 6 to 10 and 11 to 15: Test samples.

15 O	Isolate No.	ESBL Gene	Phylogroup	Antibiotic Resistance Pattern 0	luster
	M 171B	blatem	B2	CEF,CIP,KAN,TE	0.7
	M 8	bla _{CTX-M-1} bla _{TEM}	A	AMC,CHL,CIP,GEN,KAN,NIT ·····	01
	M 172A	bla _{TEM}	D	CEF,CIP,GEN,NIT	1
	M 173B	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP, CEF, CTR, CIP, TRI, KAN	
	M 171A	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP, CTR, CIP, TRI, TET	
	M 11	bla _{CTX-M-1}	D	CEF,COT,NA	
	M 1B	bla _{TEM}	B2	CIP,COT,GEN,KAN,NA,S	
	M 3	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP,CEP,CIP,GEN,NA,S	
	M 102B	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	B2	AMC, AMP, CTR, COT, GEN, NA, TE	
	M 173A	bla _{CTX-M-1}	D	CEF,CTR,CIP,GEN,KAN,NA,SPT,S	C6
	M 10	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM} , bla _{SH}	v B1	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTX, COT, CHL, GEN, KAN, SPT, SF, TE, S	1000.00000
	M 172B	bla _{CTX-M-3} , bla _{TEM} , bla _{SHV}	, B1	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTX, COT, CHL, GEN, KAN, SPT, SF, TE, S	
	M 162B	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP,CEF,COT,NIT,TE	
411	M 2	blatem	B2	CEF,CIP,COT,GEN,KAN,NA,S	
	M 111B	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP,CEF,CEP,CHL,GEN,NA,TE	
	M 163B	bla _{CTX-M-2}	B2	CEP,CHL,KAN,TE	
	M 111A	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	CEP,CHL,KAN,TE	
	M 15	bla _{TEM}	B2	AMP,CEF,CIP,COT,TE	1
	M 4	bla _{CTX-M-3} , bla _{TEM}	D	CEF,CTR,CEP,CIP,NIT	1
	M 5	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	D	AMC, AMP, GEN, KAN, NA, S	
	M 143	bla _{TEM} , bla _{SHV}	B1	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTX, COT, GEN, KAN, SPT, SF, S	
	M 112	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	A	AMC,CTR,CEP,CIP,COT,NA,TE	C5
	M 12A	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	A	AMC,CTR,CEP,CHL,COT,GEN,TE	
	M 12	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMP, CTR, CIP, TRI, KAN	
	M 12B	bla _{TEM}	B2	AMP, CTR, TRI, KAN	1
	M 133	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B1	AMC,AMP,CEP,COT,NA,SF	1
	M 141	bla _{стх-м-з} , bla _{тем} , bla _{sну}	, B2	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTR, COT, GEN, KAN, NA, TE	
	M 6	bla _{TEM}	A	CEF,CTR,CHL,NA	
	M 7	bla _{TEM} , bla _{SHV}	B1	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTX, CHL, GEN, KAN, SPT, SF, TE, S	
	M 152	bla _{тем}	B1	CEF,CTR,CIP,KAN,TE	
	M 5B	blatem	D	CEP,CHL,COT,NA.TE	C4
	M 5C	bla _{TEM}	D	AMP,CEP,CHL,COT,KAN	
	M 5A	blatem	D	CEF,CTR,CEP,CHL,COT,SPT,TE	
	M 5D	blatem	B1	CTR,KAN,TE	
	M 5E	bla _{TEM}	A	CEP,CHL,CIP,S	
	M 5F	bla _{CTX-M-3} , bla _{TEM}	B1	AMC, AMP, CEF, CTX, COT, GEN, KAN	
	M 151	blatem	B1	KAN,TE	1
	M 131	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	B1	AMC, AMP,CEF,CTX,COT,GEN,KAN,SPT, SF ·····	1
	M 132	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B2	AMC, AMP, CEF, CIP, COT, TE	C3
	M 153	blatem	B2	CEF,CIP,KAN,TE	00
	M 72A	blatem	B2	AMP,CEF,CHL,CIP,COT,S	1
	M 13	bla _{CTX-M-1}	B1	AMP, CEP, CIP, GEN, NA, S	- C2
77 18 8 8 8 8	M 13A	bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM}	D	CEF,CIP,GEN,NIT	C1

Figure 3. Dendrogram generated from ERIC-PCR of 43 ESBL producing *E. coli* isolates from raw milk showing relatedness and diversity of ESBL genes with phylogenetic group and antibiotic resistance pattern. AMC: Amoxyclav, AMP: Ampicillin, CEF: Cefamandole, CTX: Ceftriaxone, CEP: Cephalothin, CHL: Chloramphenicol, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, COT: Co-Trimaxozole, GEN: Gentamicin, KAN: Kanamycin, NA: Nalidixic Acid, NIT: Nitrofuratoin, SPT: Spectinomycin, SF: Sulfisoxazole, TE: Tetracycline, S: Streptomycin.